IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION

CIVIL CASE NO. 1:16-cv-00190-MR CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:09-cr-00023-MR-DLH-6

PHILLIP EUGENE HILL,)
Petitioner,))
VS.	ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Respondent.)))

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the motion of the United States requesting that the Court enter an order holding this action in abeyance. [CV Doc. 4].¹ According to the government's motion, defense counsel does not object to its request. [Id.].

Petitioner was convicted by plea of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine base, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. [CR Doc. 287]. The presentence report noted that Petitioner had prior qualifying North Carolina convictions that triggered the Career Offender

¹ Citations to the record herein contain the relevant document number referenced preceded by either the letters "CV" denoting the document is listed on the docket in the civil case file number 1:16-cv-00190-MR, or the letters "CR" denoting the document is listed on the docket in the criminal case file number 1:09-cr-00023-MR-DLH-6.

enhancement under section 4B1.2 of the Sentencing Guidelines. The Court sentenced Petitioner as a Career Offender to a term of imprisonment of 210 months. [Id.].

On June 21, 2016, Petitioner commenced this action by filing a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. [CV Doc. 1]. In his petition, Petitioner contends that, in light of <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), one of his previous convictions, for fleeing and eluding arrest under North Carolina law, no longer qualifies as a "crime of violence" under the Guidelines. [Id. at 4-5]. Consequently, Petitioner argues his Career Offender designation is improper and thus his sentence is unlawful. [Id.].

In response to the petition, the government has filed a motion to hold this proceeding in abeyance. [CV Doc. 4]. The government notes that this case will be affected by the Supreme Court's decision next Term in Beckles v. United States, 616 Fed. Appx. 415 (11th Cir.), cert. granted, 2016 WL 1029080 (U.S. June 27, 2016) (No. 15-8544). [Id. at 2]. One of the questions presented in Beckles is whether Johnson applies retroactively to cases collaterally challenging federal sentences enhanced under the residual clause in U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a)(2). The residual clause invalidated in Johnson is identical to the residual clause in the Career Offender provision of the Guidelines, § 4B1.2(a)(2) (defining "crime of violence").

Based upon the reasons given by the government, and without objection by Petitioner, the Court concludes that the government's motion should be granted.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the government's motion to place this case in abeyance [CV Doc. 4] is hereby **GRANTED**, and this matter is hereby held in abeyance pending the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Beckles</u>. Thereafter, the government shall have 60 days from the date the Supreme Court decides <u>Beckles</u> within which to file its response in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: August 19, 2016

United States District Judge

Martin Reidinger